, 112701

4-26-79

Brodifacoum 9 (Talon, Volak)

liendenhall 1

This unpublished manuscript is provided for the information and internal use of Mr. Larry Turner, Environmental Protection Agency. The manuscript is subject to change prior to publication and therefore should not be quoted or otherwise issued except with permission of the Director, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center.

SECONDARY POISONING OF OWLS BY ANTICOAGULANT RODENTICIDES .

1126/79

In a phone call, Lucille Stickel gave

In a phone call, Lucille Stickel gave

In a phone call, Lucille Stickel gave

The results

The results

Submitted

permission for us to release the results

submitted

permission for us to registrant. Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for us to registrant.

Submitted

permission for publication.

(was already rejected by Science)

wodent control poisons that kill by causing neworthage are widely used, and avian predators may capture poisoned rouents. Owls were led rodents killed with six anticoagulant baits. Four compounds led to neworthaging in some owls; hemorrhaging was lethal with bromodiolone, brodifacoum, and diphacinone, and sublethal with difenacoum. No adverse effects occurred with fumarin and chlorophacinone.

anticoagulants (1) constitute over 90% of all rodent poisons used in the united States (2). The compounds cause hemorrhage by plocking synthesis of ciotting factors at a step involving vitamin x (3). Several days are normally required after initial injection of the anticoagulant before stores of factors are exhausted and symptoms appear. The delayed action allows poisoned rodents to remain active and available to predators for several days. This poses a potential hazard of secondary poisoning, in which predators inject toxicants that are present in tissues of their prey rather than by direct intake. Miscellaneous accounts of such poisoning include a cat and possibly skunks that died from eating prey containing warrarin, rats from eating prey containing direnacoum, and mink and dogs from eating prey containing direnacoum, and mink and dogs from eating prey containing five different compounds (1,4,5). Dogs, however, were not affected by marriarin-poisoned mice (0). Anticoagulant secondary poisoning among piras or prey has not been reported, and was examined during the present study.

In a preliminary trial conducted in 1970 at Olympia, washington, three great-normed owls (bubo virginianus) and one saw-whet owl (negolius acadicus) were each fed two diphacinone-killed mice (rerowyscus maniculatus) darly for 5 days. Mice had consumed a lethal dose of toxicant during a lu-day free-choice biodssay, each mouse was fed one beally of an oat-proat dait containing 0.01% diphacinone (7), and individual dait consumption was recorded daily; undosed Purina had Chow was also available ad libitum.

Owls were fed two poisoned mice daily for five days, followed by chicken heads on each test day and during a subsequent zo-day observation period.

Coapulation was measured in all owls on days 0 (pre-treatment), 0, and in one great-horned owl, on days 15 and 22. Blood (0.1 cc or less) was collected from the orachial vein in a non-heparinized microhematocrit tube, and was teased with a nooked needle until the first strand of fibrin appeared. The cased with a nooked needle until the first strand of fibrin appeared.

provided an index of coagulation time that was reproducible to within 1 min for normal coagulation, a sensitivity sufficient for assessing anticoagulant intoxication. Normal times were approximately 2.0 min.

In the principal experiment, 30 barn owls were fed rats (nattus norvegicus, k. rattus, and R. exulans) captured in milo, mawaii, and poisoned with diphacinone, chlorophacinone, funarin, ditenacoum, bromadiolone, or prodifacoum (1). Individually caged rats were ted out-groat paits containing registered or recommended concentrations of toxicant: U.U.5% fumaria, U.UU2% brodifacoum, and 0.005% other compounds, baits were fed for 5 days on a freechoice basis (Lab Chow was available as before), and bait consumption was recorded daily. Anticoagulant-killed rats were fed to owis for periods of 1, 3, 0, or 10 days to allow comparison of various periods of exposure that seemed likely to be encountered in the field. Undosed rats were red to the owls each afternoon; portions not eaten were weighed and recorded the next nording, including an estimate of the fractions of alimentary tract (containing possible unabsorbed toxicant) and of liver (containing the majority of absorbed toxicant and metabolites) (5). The experiment was run in three parts: 1., feeding of dosed rats for 1 and 6 days; 2., feeding of dosed rats for 3 and lo days; and 3., replicate of (2). In each part there was one owl per toxicant for each feeding regime plus two controls. Species of rats ted to each owl were mixed, except that all in part 3 were k. exulans.

Owls were obtained from the breeding colony at Patuxent wildlife Research Center and were housed during the experiment in individual indoor cases measuring 55 X 75 X 61 cm. The daily light regime in parts 1 and 2 was 13L:11D, but in part 3 it was 10L:14D (to inhibit possible development of the gonads, since the month was flarch). During "dark" hours, a hooded 7 1/2 w

bulb provided slight illumination. Pre-experimental weights ranged from 425 to 605 g (Table 2); post-treatment weights had not changed significantly.

Coagulation indices were measured 5 days before dosing, 20 days after first dosing, and (part 2 only) on the 3rd day after the end of dosing. Pre-test coagulation times ranged from 0.25 to 3.35 min. Birds that died during the experiment were necropsied on the day of death; owls that survived to day 20 were sacrificed with chloroform and necropsied.

All four owls in the preliminary trial displayed anticoagulant poisoning, and three died from massive hemorrhaging (Table 1). Coagulation indices on day 6 were elevated by 22-34+ min; in the survivor, recovery was only partial by day 16 (6 min).

In the principal experiment, six barn owls died: five that were fed prodifacoum rats, and one fed bromadiolone rats (Table 2). Eirds fed difenacoum survived, but those on b- and 10-day feeding regimes nemorrhaged (Table 2), one of them (no. 413) severely. Other birds fed bromadiolone, and all those fed rats poisoned with diphacinone, funarin, and chlorophacinone survived without apparent intoxication.

Hemorrhages occurred throughout the carcass, including subcutaneous areas and visceral organs. Sublethal lesions were similar to lethal ones, but were less numerous and severe. Only dead oirds, however, displayed hemorrhage of the heart wall or distension of the pericardium by clear or bloody fluid. The coagulation index was elevated (10 min) in the bird sampled shortly before death (no. 57); other coagulation indices were within normal range.

we have demonstrated a potential hazard to avian predators of secondary poisoning by four anticoagulant rodenticides. brouifacoum and bromadiolone were lethal to some barn owls, and difenacoum produced

sublethal hemorrhaging in this species at the levels tested. The only compound tested on three species of owls, diphacinone, was toxic to great-horned and saw-whet owls during the preliminary trial, but not to barn owls in the principal experiment. Possible explanations include interspecific differences in susceptibility among the owls, or differences in prey species and hence in metabolites presented to them (8). However, we can draw no conclusions without further comparative tests using a consistent protocol.

The effects of anticoagulants on raptors in the ffeld remain to be assessed. The amount of toxicant ingested by rodents under natural conditions is probably similar to that under our regime (free-choice bioassay of each bait at registered or recommended concentrations). A large-scale control program also exposes predators to poisoned prey for a number of days.

Therefore, secondary poisoning of raptors by anticoagulants under certain conditions seems likely. The effect of a given dose on birds may be exacerbated by conditions in the field, including stress, changes in diet (9) or increased activity (10). Minor injury can also increase susceptibility, even if the injury has occurred before exposure (owl no. 253 suffered massive henorrhage at the site where blood had been sampled 17 days earlier). Caution is indicated in the use of anticoagulants for rodent control unless toxicity tests have shown that little danger exists for the combinations of compound, predator, and prey species concerned. This is of particular concern where avian predators on rodents are rare or endangered.

Vivian M. Mendenhall, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Laurel, MD 20811

Larry F. Pank, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver Wildlife Research Center, Hilo, HI 96720

- Anticoagulants discussed include 4 registered as rodenticides in the
 U. S.: warfarin (3-[α-acetonylbenzyl-4-nydroxycoumarin],
 diphacinone [2-(diphenylacetyl)-1,3-indandione], chlorophacinone
 (2-[(p-chlorophenyl)phenylacetyl]-1,3-indandione), fumarin [3-(α-acetonylfurfuryl)-4-hydroxycoumarin]; and 3 experimental ones:
 difenacoum [3-(3-p-diphenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthyl)-4-hydroxycoumarin], brodifacoum (3-[3-(4'-bromobiphenyl-4-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthyl]-4-hydroxycoumarin), and
 bromadiolone (3-[3-(4'-bromobiphenyl-4-yl)-3-hydroxy-1-phenylpropyl]-4-hydroxycoumarin). Use of trade names does not imply endorsement of commercial products by the Federal government.
- 2. W. E. Howard and R. E. Marsh, <u>Pest Control</u> 42(8), suppl. (1974); National Research Council, Public Health Study Team, <u>Pest Control</u>, Vol. V (1976).
- 3. R. A. O'Reilly, Annu. Rev. Med. 27, 245 (1976).
- 4., Discussion after D. G. Crabtree and W. H. Robinson, <u>Trans. N. Am. Wildl.</u>

 <u>Conf. 17</u>, 167 (1952); J. O. Bull, <u>Proc. Vertebr. Pest Conf. 7</u>, 72 (1976).
- 5. J. Evans and A. L. Ward, J. Am. Vet Med. Assoc. 151, 856 (1967).
- 6. R. F. Prier and P. H. Derse, J. Am. Vet Med. Assoc. 140, 351 (1962).
- 7. W. E. Howard, R. E. Marsh, R. E. Cole, J. For. 68, 220 (1970).
- 8. M. G. Townsend and K. A. Tarrant, Xenobiotica 7, 114 (1977).
- 9. R. Laliberte, S. Chakrabarti, J. Brodeur, J. <u>Pharmacol</u>. <u>Exp. Ther</u>. <u>196</u>, 194 (1976); H. W. Colvin, Jr. and W. L. Wang, <u>Toxicol</u>. <u>Appl</u>. <u>Pharmacol</u>. <u>28</u>, 337 (1974).
- 10. A. J. Oliver and S. H. Wheeler, Austr. Wildl. Res. 5, 135 (1978).
- 11. We thank D. C. Smith, S. M. Brown, R. N. Berthold, and D. N. Hirata for technical assistance, and S. D. Haseltine and W. J. Fleming for consultation on necropsies.

Table 1. Secondary toxicity of diphacinone to owls.

	Ow1	Mice fed	to owls	Days
	wt.	Total	Dose	to
Species	(g)	wt. (g)	(mg)*	. deatn
Great-	1271	175	5.5	
horned	1226	156	4.1	14
	1135	143	4.6	14
Saw-whet	110	156	6.1	7

^{*} Total toxicant consumed by mice.

range of doses is shown for the first 3 toxicants; for the last 3 (no effect), only the maximum Table 2. Secondary toxicity of six anticoagulants to barn owls (Tyto alba). The full dose.

			0w1 s		Rats offered	fered		Rats eaten		
	Days		Wt.		Total	Dose	Total		Intes-	Intox.
Toxicant	dosed	Bird	(8)	Sex	wt(g)	(mm)	wt(g)	Livers	tines	signs*
Difena-	1	298	495	×	72	1.74	99	- -	1/4	:
coum	ო	393	430	£	336	6.42	270	m	2 3/4	
	m	70	480	Ce.	189	4.54	125	2 1/4	ຕຸ	1
•	9	246	495	Σ	586	18.6	174	1 1/6	2 1/2	#
	10	311	510	(SE4	1160	12.54	. 295	4 2/3	5 5/8	ಪ
	. 01	413	540	Œ	265	7.99	411	10	5.7/8	#
Broma-	H	306	7460	æ	118	2.65	52		8/1	•
diolone	m	374	450	£	358	09.9	281	ņ	en .	1
	ო	52	425	E	228	3.96	146	m	2 3/4	:
	9	401	490	X	625	11.11	295	س	.4	1
	10	258	240	Œ	1106	14.59	576	7 5/6	4 1/2	
	10	89	635	24	710	9.63	463	8 1/2	5 1/8	D(11)
									-	

Table 2. (continued)

	.•		Owls		Rats offered	fered		Kats eaten		
	Days		¥r.		Total	Dose	Total		Intes-	Intox.
Toxicant	dosed	Bird	(8)	Sex	wt(g)	(mg)	wt(g)	Livers	tines	signs*
Brodifa-	1	395	400	Σ	1,1	0.58	67	1	1/2	1
coum	m	247	430	E	400	2.50	299	m	2 1/2	υ(8)
	m	57	475	Σ	223	1,75	154	ю	1 3/8	·D(11)
	9	253	505	(ta _j	580	3.84	370	5 2/3	3 1/4	(6)0
•	10	403	470	ČE4	814	3.15	492	9	4 1/8	D(8)
	10	254	545	Ce.	558	3,30	368	7	3 3/4	(8)n
Dipha-	10	416	485	Se ₄	1195	11.69	848	10	7 5/8	
cinone	10	259	595	ČE4	575	9.04	760	9 1/8	1	;
Fumarin	10	377	520	(St ₄	1137	73.62	751	10	7 3/8	:
	10	99	595	Ľžą	654	48.89	605	10	8 5/8	! .
Chloro-	10	329	475	X.	1276	16.07	655	7 1/3	5 1/2	,
phacinone	10	39	605	Cz4	712	9.16	576	6	3.1/2	:

+ Total toxicant consumed by rat. * Signs of intoxication: -- = no signs; H = hemorrhage, survived;

D * hemorrhage and death (number gives day of death from start of dosing).